



CITY OF LODI

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

TO : City Council
FROM : City Manager
MEETING DATE: September 6, 1989
AGENDA TITLE: 1989-90 Transportation Development Act Claim

RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the City Council adopt a resolution approving the City's 1989-90 Transportation Development Act, "TDA", claim for local transportation funds, "LTF", and State Transit Assistance, "STA", and authorize the City Manager to sign on behalf of the City.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION: The City's 1989-90 TDA claim requests all of the LTF apportionment which amounts to \$987,890 and the STA apportionment which is \$4,927. It is estimated that we will be using approximately \$325,000 of LTF and all of the STA money for the Dial-a-Ride system. Included in this amount is the purchase of replacement vehicles in the amount of \$76,500.

TDA funds are used in conjunction with other street funds for the transportation improvement program and maintenance of our streets. This claim includes work in progress projects as well as street projects that are expected to be included in the capital improvement plan which will use the LTF. Projects are shown on the attached list. Showing these projects on our claim allows us the flexibility to use TDA funds. It does not approve these projects for construction. The pedestrian and bicycle apportionment, \$19,305.00, will be on the City sidewalk upgrading program together with other funds. Since this project includes pedestrian safety, it meets the requirements of this portion of the claim.

A complete claim form is in the City Manager's file but **not** included as part of this communication.

for Richard Ronsko
for Jack L. Ronsko
Public Works Director

Jerry L. Glenn
Jerry L. Glenn
Assistant City Manager

JLR/SB/lw

Attachment

APPROVED: _____

FILE YO.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

TO: San Joaquin County Council of Governments
1860 East Hazelton Avenue
Stockton, CA 95205

FROM: Applicant: City of Lodi

Address; Call Box 3006, Lodi, CA 95241
(City, Zip)

Contact Person: Sharon Blaufus Phone: 333-6706
TRANSIT: Jerry Glenn 333-6700

The City of Lodi hereby requests, in accordance with Chapter 1400, Statutes 1971 and applicable rules and regulations, that its annual transportation claim be approved in the amount of \$ 1,778,790 for fiscal year 1989-90, to be drawn from the Local Transportation Fund.

When approved, please transmit this claim to the County Auditor for payment. Approval of the claim and payment by the County Auditor to this applicant is subject to such monies being on hand and available for distribution, and to the provisions that such monies will be used only in accordance with the terms of the approved annual financial plan.

The claimant certifies that this Local Transportation Fund claim and the financial information contained therein, is reasonable and accurate to the best of my knowledge, and that the aforementioned information indicates the eligibility of this claimant for funds for the fiscal year of the application pursuant to CAC Section 6634 and 6734.

APPROVED:

San Joaquin County Council
of Governments

By: PETER D. VERDOORN

Title: Executive Director

Date: 19

Applicant: City of Lodi

Signed: Thomas A. Peterson

Name: Thomas A. Peterson

Title: City Manager

Date: SEPT. 18 1989

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE CLAIM

TO: San Joaquin County Council of Governments
1860 East Hazelton Avenue
Stockton, CA 95205

FROM: Applicant: City of Lodi
Address: Call Box 3005, Lodi, CA 95241
(City, Zip)
Contact Person: Jerry Glenn Phone: 333-6706

This claimant, qualified pursuant to Section 99203 and 99315 of the Public Utilities Code, hereby requests, in accordance with Chapter 1400, Statutes of 1971 as amended, and applicable rules and regulations, that an allocation be made in the amount of \$ 4,927 for fiscal year 1989-90, to be drawn from the State Transit Assistance trust fund of San Joaquin County for the following purposes and in the following respective amounts:

Purposes	Amounts
Dial-a-Ride	\$4,927

Allocation instruction and payment by the County Auditor to this claimant are subject to such monies being on hand and available for distribution, and to the provisions that such monies will be used only in accordance with the terms of the approved claim.

The claimant certifies that this State Transit Assistance Fund Claim and the financial information contained herein, is reasonable and accurate to the best of my knowledge, and that the aforementioned information indicates the eligibility of this claimant for funds for the fiscal year of the application pursuant to CAC Section 6634 and 6734.

APPROVED:

San Joaquin County Council
of Governments

By: PETER D. VERDOORN

Title: Executive Director

Date: 19

Applicant: City of Lodi

Signed: Thos. A. Peterson

Name: Thomas A. Peterson

Title: City Manager

Date: SEPT. 18, 19 89

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT APPORTIONMENTS

I. Local Transportation Fund Available Apportionment

A. Area Apportionment 1989-90	\$ 926,652
B. Pedestrian/Bicycle Apportionment	19,305
C. Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	41,933
D. Unexpended Carryover	790,900
E. Total Available for 1989-90 Claim(s)	1,778,790
F. less any LTF Already Claimed 1989-90	0
G. TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM (Also enter on page 8, 1st column)	\$ 1,778,790

II. State Transit Assistance Fund Available Apportionment

A. Area Apportionment 1989-90	\$	
B. Special Transit Apportionment 1989-90		
C. Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment		4,927
D. Unexpended Carryover		0
E. Total Available for 1989-90 Claim(s)		4,927
F. less any STA Already Claimed 1989-90		0
G. TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM (Also enter on page 8, 2nd column)	\$	4,927

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION

<u>Claim Purpose</u>	<u>I. LTF</u>	<u>II. STA</u>
I. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION		
Article 4 (99260)-Operator	<u>248,873</u>	<u>4,927</u>
Article 8 (99400(c)) Contractor operating	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Article 8 (99400(e)) Contractor capital	<u>46,500</u>	<u> </u>
II. PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE		
Article.3 (99234)	<u> </u>	<u>N/A</u>
Article 8 (99400(a))	<u>19,305</u>	<u> </u>
III. ROADS AND STREETS		
Article 8 (99400(a))	<u>1,464,112*</u>	<u> </u>
IV. OTHER		
Article 8 (99400(b) or 99400(d)	<u> </u>	<u>N/A</u>
////////////////////////////////////		
TOTAL THIS CLAIM	<u>1,778,790</u>	<u>4,927</u>
TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM (from page 7, I. and II. G.)	<u>1,778,790</u>	<u>4,927</u>
UNCLAIMED ALLOCATIONMENT (TOTAL AVAIL. less TOTAL THIS CLAIM)	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

IMPORTANT: TO avoid accidental overpayment, please identify in the space below any unexpended carryover included in the amounts being claimed above,

*Roads and Streets includes \$790,900 of unexpended LTF money.

PART I - PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

Please Circle either: Article 4 operator or Article 8 contractor

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

		1988-89	1989-90
		Please Circle	Budget
		<u>ACTUAL</u> or ESTIMATE	
I. OPERATING REVENUE			
		39,450	40,500
401	Passenger Fares		
402	Special Transit Fares		
403	School Bus Service Revenues		
404	Freight Tariffs		
405	Charter Service Revenues		
406	Auxiliary Transportation Revenues		
407	Non-Transportation Revenues	1,225	1,200
408	Taxes Levied Directly by Transit System (Specify)		
409	Local Cash Grants & Reimbursements (Specify) Local Transportation Fund (LTF)	226,460	248,873
410	Local Special Fare Assistance		
411	State Cash Grants & Reimbursements (Specify) State Transit Assistance Fund (STA)	6,950	4,927
412	State Special Fare Assistance		
413	Federal Cash Grants & Reimbursements (Specify) UMTA Grants		
430	Contributed Services		
440	XXXXXXXXXX SALE OF PROPERTY REIMBURSEMENTS	1,600	
		4,658	
	TOTAL	280,343	295,500
II. CAPITAL REVENUE			
464	Federal Capital Grants & Subsidies (Specify)		
	State Capital Grants & Subventions (Specify) State Transit Assistance Funds (STA)		
	Local Capital Provisions (Specify) Local Transportation Fund (LTF)		46,500
	XXXXXXXXXX Non-Governmental Donations		30,000
	SALE OF PROPERTY		76,500
	TOTAL		

III. OPERATING EXPENSES

	1988-89 <u>Please Circle</u> <u>Actual or Estimate*</u>	1989-90 Budget
501 Labor		
Operators Salaries/Wages	_____	750
Other Salaries/Wages	_____	_____
502 Fringe Benefits	_____	250
503 Services	_____	_____
504 Materials/Supplies		
Fuels/Lubricants	_____	_____
Tires/Tubes	_____	_____
Other	_____	_____
505 Utilities	_____	_____
506 Casualty/Liability Costs	49,793.75	60,000
507 Taxes	_____	_____
508 Purchased Transportation Service	210,446.80	232,500
509 Miscellaneous Expenses	2,234.23	2,000
510 Expense Transfers	_____	_____
511 Interest Expense	_____	_____
512 Leases and Rentals	_____	_____
513 Depreciation/Amortization		
Operator Funds	_____	_____
Grant Funds	_____	_____
TOTAL	262,474.78	295,500

IV. CAPITAL EXPENSES*

Debt Service	_____	_____
Land/Property Acquisition	_____	_____
Vehicles	30,303.97	72,000
Construction	_____	_____
Other	_____	4,500
TOTAL	30,303.94	76,500

*Allowable capital expenses are limited for Article 8 claimants: see 99400 (e).

OPERATIONAL INFORMATION*

	Actual FY 1987-88	Actual/ Est. FY 1988-89	Proposed FY 1989-90
1. <u>patronage</u>			
a. Total Passengers	76,207	70,842	75,000
b. Revenue Passengers	5,510	4,935	6,000
c. Youth Passengers			
d. Elderly Passengers	70,697	66,907	69,000
e. Handicapped Passengers			
2. <u>Vehicle Miles</u>			
a. Total Vehicle Miles	140,069	141,767	142,000
b. Revenue Vehicle Miles			
<u>Revenue Vehicle HOURS</u>			
<u>Revenue Vehicle Fuel</u> <u>Consumption</u>			
a. Diesel			
b. Gasoline	13,819	13,714	13,800
c. Liquid Natural compressed Gas			
5. <u>Fare Structure</u>			
a. Base	.50	.50	.50
b. Zone			
c. Youth			
d. Senior	.50	.50	.50
e. Handicapped	.50	.50	.50
f. Monthly Pass			
g. Other	1.00	1.00	1.00
h. Average Fare	.54	.54	.54

*Attach additional pages as necessary to alter or complete description

THREE YEAR FISCAL PLAN

	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93
Operating Expenses	\$ <u>313,000</u>	\$ <u>330,000</u>	\$ <u>350,000</u>
Operating Revenues			
Sources: LTF	\$ <u>269,800</u>	\$ <u>285,800</u>	\$ <u>293,440</u>
STA	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Federal	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Fares	<u>42,000</u>	<u>43,000</u>	<u>44,250</u>
General Fund	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Other	<u>1,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>	<u>1,200</u>
Total	\$ <u> </u>	\$ <u> </u>	\$ <u> </u>
capital Expenses	\$ <u>40,000</u>	\$ <u>42,000</u>	\$ <u>44,000</u>
Capital Revenue			
sources: LTF	\$ <u>40,000</u>	\$ <u>42,000</u>	\$ <u>44,000</u>
STA	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Federal	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Other	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	\$ <u>40,000</u>	\$ <u>42,000</u>	\$ <u>44,000</u>

FLEET INVENTORY
(Transit Vehicle Owners Only)

***** (SEE ATTACHED SHEET) *****

Make & Model	Production Year	# of Veh.	Fuel Type	Seat capacity	Special Features			
					AC	EP	WC	Other
Chev. Impala	1985	1	gas	6	X			Station wagon
Chev. Caprice	1985	3	gas	6	X			Station wagon
Chev. Caprice	1986	1	gas	6	X			Station wagon
Chev. Impala	1982	1	gas	6	X			Station wagon
Dodge Caravan	1989	2	gas	7	X			VAN
TOTAL	XXXXXXXXXXXX	8	XXXXX					

Vehicles to be Purchased in FY 1989-90

VAN		1						
Station wagon		4						
Station wagon		2						

AC = Air Conditioned
EP = Environmental Package
WC = Wheelchair Lift

See attachment-----

Attachment to Page - 13 - of *Fleet* Inventory

Fiscal Year 1989-90 TDA Claim

=====

It is the intent of *the City of Lodi* to purchase from Dial-A-Ride the two Dodge Caravans. These vehicles are inadequate for our present usage.

We intend to purchase four station wagons in the 1989-90 fiscal year. **Two** of these will be to replace the vans. **Two** of the vehicles will be used to replace the oldest and most expensive vehicles either as an addition to the fleet or **as** a replacement of an existing vehicle.

The handicap van **and** two station wagons are included in an amendment to the 1988-89 claim. The handicap van and one station wagon will be an addition to the fleet. The other station wagon will replace the 1982 Impala station wagon.

Article 4 Operator TDA Requirements

1. Fare Ratio/Local Support Requirements

All Article 4 claimants are required to maintain a specified ratio of fare revenue to operating cost. In addition, SMTD only is required to maintain a ratio of fare revenue plus local support to operating cost of 322. See 99268.2 - 99268.19 for details and exemptions pertaining to ratios.

- A. What is this system's required farebox recovery ratio?
10X
- B. Does the attached budget demonstrate that this system will meet its required farebox recovery and for SMTD its farebox plus local support ratios? Yes
- C. Has this system utilized its grace year? _____
- D. Has this system been in non-compliance with its required ratio(s)? no

If yes, identify the year or years _____

2. Extension of Service/New Service

An extension of service or new service is exempt from the required farebox and local support ratios if:

- A. The extension of service or new service has been in operation for less than two full fiscal years. The two-year extension of services exclusion applies until two years after the end of the fiscal year in which the extension of services was put into operation.
- B. The claimant submits a report on the extension of services to the COG within 90 days after the end of the fiscal year. (For details of the report, see 6633.8(b)).

Is an extension of service/new service being claimed? No

If so, has the required report been submitted for the most recently completed full fiscal year? If not, that report must accompany this claim.

3. Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase (6632)

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be given below. Attach an extra page if necessary.

The addition to the fleet will require additional expense for insurance.

The purchase of four replacement vehicles necessitates the addition of capital funds.

4. Narrative Description (6632)

Please describe in the space below any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. This should specifically include any substantial increase or decrease in the geographic area served, major changes to the scope of operations, or addition of major new fixed facilities. Please attach an additional page if necessary.

SPECIAL NOTES FOR RATIO CALCULATIONS

SMTD - Exclude certain costs and fares as specified in the most recent Compliance Audit Report.

Lodi - Exclude County service when calculating fares and expenses.

Article 6 Contractor TDA Requirements

For contracted transportation service providers, the San Joaquin County Council of Governments' Executive Board has waived the farebox and local support ratios as it is empowered to do by 99405(c). The COG Board has established a two-step process.

1. Match Requirement

For any Article 8 transit claim, no more than 90% of the total operating funds (minus depreciation) in the budget may be TDA (LTF and STA) derived. The ten percent or more matching funds may come from any other source available to the claimant besides TDA.

2. Operating Cost Per Passenger Objective

To receive an amount of TDA operating funds (LTF and STA combined) in excess of what was claimed the previous fiscal year, the claimant must establish an operating cost per Passenger objective for the fiscal year of the claim. The objective should be a realistic one based on current and past system performance, but should be low enough to represent an "improvement" when warranted. The COG Executive Board will adopt the systemwide operating cost per passenger objective for the fiscal year of the claim.

If the system failed to meet its operating cost per passenger objective in the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year of the claim, then the claimant is only eligible to file a claim for the level of TDA operating funding received in that prior fiscal year. In the case of a unified transit system, each claimant would be limited to the prior year's level of TDA operating funding. If a system wishes to be eligible for increased TDA operating funding in a future fiscal year, then the claimant should identify an operating cost per passenger objective.

- i. What was the level of TDA operating funding received in the previous fiscal year for this system by this claimant (LTF plus STA)? \$ _____
- ii. Does the attached budget information demonstrate at least a 10% match of non-TDA funds? „ _____
- iii. Is this claim requesting more TDA operating funds than were received for this system by this claimant in the previous fiscal year? _____
- iv. If yes, did the system meet its operating cost per passenger objective in the previous fiscal year? _____

An affirmative answer should be documented in Part v. on the next page.

v. What was last year's Operating Cost per Passenger Objective? _____ What was the actual operating cost per passenger? . . .

a. FY 1988-89 Operating Cost \$ _____

b. Total Passengers _____

c. Operating Cost Per Passenger
(a / b) \$ _____

vi. What is the Operating Cost per Passenger objective for this claim? . . .

d. Budgeted Operating Cost \$ _____

e. Estimated Total Passengers _____

f. Calculated Operating Cost
per Passenger (d / e) \$ _____

g. FY 1989-90 OPERATING COST PER
PASSENGER OBJECTIVE \$ _____

h. If this claim is for a unified transit system (see footnote), has the contributing claimant been appraised of the planned systemwide objective set in g. above? _____

3. Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase (6'632)

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be given below. Attach an additional page if necessary.

4. Narrative Description (6632)

Please describe on an attached page any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. This should specifically include any substantial increase or decrease in the geographic area served, major changes to the scope of operations, or addition of major new fixed facilities.

IMPORTANT: If this claim is for a unified transit system (per definition p. 17a), all calculations and numbers on (2)iv. and (2)v. must include both City and County totals. Also, contributing claimants to unified transit systems should not use this page: use page 17a instead.

ARTICLE 8 CONTRACTOR TDA REQUIREMENTS (CONTRIBUTING CLAIMANTS)

- I. In the case of a "unified transit system," this page is to be used by the "contributing claimant" rather than page 17. A "unified transit system" is defined as one which has the same fare structure throughout the service area, but whose TDA are claimed separately by two different TDA claimants. Additionally, to qualify as a unified transit system, all system TDA funding must be claimed under Article 8 (both claimants). "Contributing claimant" is defined as the claimant contributing a minority of the unified transit system's TDA funds. The claimant furnishing the majority of TDA funds is defined as the "primary claimant."

Currently, the following local transit services qualify as unified transit systems:

<u>FY 1989-90 Unified Transit Systems</u>	<u>This Page Used by:</u>
Tracy Trans	County
Tracy Taxi	County
Escalon Public Transit System	County

11. 1. Name of unified transit system _____
2. Systemwide operating cost per passenger objective for FY 1989-90 identified in primary claimant's adopted transit claim (from that claim, page 17, (2) vi. g.) _____
3. Date of primary claimant's adopted transit claim (or anticipated future date, if not yet adopted) _____

IMPORTANT:

The operating cost per passenger objective identified in 3. above will be applied uniformly to the total of city and County TDA funds used by the unified transit system, to determine eligibility for increased TDA funding as explained on page 16. Separate calculations will not be done for City and County.

PART II - PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE PROJECTS

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

<u>Project Title and Description</u>	<u>Project Limits</u>	<u>Est. Cost</u> <u>Total Cost</u>
Sidewalk Upgrading	Varies	19,305 50,000

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	<div> <div>STA Cost</div> <div>Total Cost</div> </div>

PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

<u>Project Title and Description</u>	<u>Project Limits</u>	<u>LTF cost</u> <u>Total Cost</u>
Traffic Signals (FAU Match) (FAU Match)	Victor Rd. & Cluff Ave. Lodi Ave. & Lower Sacramento F Elm St. & Lower Sacramento Rd. Turner Rd. & Stockton St.	\$ 90,000 18,000 18,000 81,000
Hutchins Street Improvements	Tokay St. to Lodi Ave. Lodi Ave. to Pine St.	300,000 75,000
Lower Sacramento Rd. Operational Improvements (FAU match)	St. Mortiz to Turner Rd.	30,000
Pine Street Overlay	'SPRR to Cherokee Lane	223,000
Stockton Street Overlay	Lodi Ave. to Lockeford St.	176,000
Lodi Ave./Ham Lane Intersection Overlay		19,000
Lodi Ave. Overlay	Beckman to Kelly	25,000
Lodi Ave. Overlay	Main to Central	152,000
Church St. Overlay	'Highway 12 to Mendicino	71,000
*Work in progress		

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

<u>Project Title and Description</u>	<u>Project Limits</u>	<u>STA Cost</u> <u>Total Cost</u>

(Use Additional page if Necessary)

PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

<u>Project Title and Description</u>	<u>Project Limits</u>	<u>LTF Cost</u> <u>Total Cost</u>
Harney Lane Overlay	*Hutchins to 400' w/Stockton	75,000
Lockeford St. Overlay	*SPRR to Cherokee Ln.	115,000
Ham Lane Overlay & Operational Improvements	*Highway 12 to Vine St.	195,000
Pacific Ave. Overlay	*Walnut to Elm	95,000
Walnut & Oak St. Overlay	*Ham to Pacific	
Tokay St. Overlay	Lower Sacramento to Mills	83,000
Railroad Crossing Improvements	*Loma Dr	8,000
	*Cherokee Ln.	9,000
	Cluff Ave.	12,000
Overlay Analysis/Design		6,000
Street Master Plan		20,000
Street Maintenance		149,555
*Work in progress		

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

<u>Project Title and Description</u>	<u>Project Limits</u>	<u>STA Cost</u> <u>Total Cost</u>

(Use Additional page if Necessary)

PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

<u>Project Title and Description</u>	<u>Project Limits</u>	<u>LTF Cost</u> <u>Total Cost</u>
Administration		3,000
		1,464,112
		<u>2,049,555</u>

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND		STA Cost
Project Title and Description	Project Limits	Total Cost

(Use Additional page if Necessary)

PART IV - OTHER PURPOSES

It is possible that a claimant may wish to expend TDA funds for purposes allowed within the Act, but not covered by the three previous parts. For instance, TDA funds may be claimed under Article 8, 99400(b) to subsidize AMTRAK service in a community, or under 99400(d) for administration and planning costs for Article 8 transit services. To complete this section, please identify the project, the purpose of the project, the estimated cost, and the fund from which money is being claimed. It is advisable to communicate with COG staff before completing this section.

RESOLUTION NO. 89-115

A RESOLUTION OF THE LODI CITY COUNCIL
APPROVING THE CITY OF LODI'S 1989-90
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CLAIM FOR
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUNDS

BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Lodi does hereby approve the City's 1989-90 Transportation Development Act (TDA) claim for Local Transportation Funds (LTF), and State Transit Assistance (STA); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Lodi does hereby authorize the City Manager to execute the subject Claim on behalf of the City of Lodi.

Dated: September 6, 1989

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 89-115 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in a regular meeting held September 6, 1989 by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Hinchman, **Olson**, Pinkerton, Reid and Snider (Mayor)

Noes: Council Members - None

Absent: Council Members - None

Alice M. Reimche
Alice M. Reimche
City Clerk

89-115

RES89115/TXTA.02J